

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1 to 9 remain in the current application. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended.

Claims 1 to 7 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,936,668 to Sawanobori et al. ('668) in view of US Patent No. 6,512,607 to Windsor et al. ('607). Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assessment, however, Applicant has amended Claim 1 to more clearly distinguish the invention over the prior art. Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of the event change being indicated on the LCD being associated with the mobile communication device.

The '668 reference is directed at a method of displaying an image on a display screen. While an image is displayed in a first area of the display screen, a second area of the display screen displays information. However, the information that is being displayed by the second area is static information associated with the image being displayed and not relating to an event change occurring on, or relating to, the device. Therefore, there is no discussion, or disclosure of an event change, related to the mobile communication device, being indicated to a user as now claimed. If one was to associate the mobile communication device with the camera **100** of the '668 reference, Applicant respectfully submits that no event change with respect to the camera is being indicated, but only the displayed image.

With respect to the '607 reference, Applicant respectfully submits that this reference does not suggest, disclose, anticipate or obviate the subject matter of determining an event change and indicating any event change on the display area. The '607 reference provides a description of a display overlay whereby permanent images or wording are printed on the display overlay.

Applicant respectfully submits that since there is a claimed element that is not disclosed in either '668 or '607 by the Examiner's evidence, Examiner's rejection is now considered moot. For the reasons set forth above, retraction of Examiner's rejection of Claims 1 to 7 and 9 is respectfully requested.

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '668 reference in view of the '607 reference and further in view of US Patent No. 5,486,914 to Denove et al. ('914). The '914 reference is directed at a device having a backlight

indicator but does not include any suggestion or disclosure of the subject matter of determining an event change associated with a mobile communication device and indicating the event change on the display area. Moreover, Claim 8 has been amended to remove the subject matter of the group of event changes including "backlight turned on, and backlight turned off". Retraction of Examiner's rejection of Claim 8 is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in a position for a Notice of Allowance which Applicant respectfully requests.

Respectfully submitted,  
**LOWLES, Robert J., et al.**

By: /Jeffrey W. Wong/  
Jeffrey W. Wong  
Registration No. 46,414  
Attorney for Applicant

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, Ontario  
Canada K1P 1J9  
Telephone: 519-741-9100  
Fax: 519-741-9149

OTT01\3393077\1